

Hayashi *Econometrics*: Answers to Selected Review Questions

# Chapter 9

## Section 9.1

1. By the hint, the long-run variance equals  $\text{Var}((u_T - u_0)/\sqrt{T}) = \frac{1}{T} \text{Var}(u_T - u_0)$ .  $\text{Var}(u_T - u_0) = \text{Var}(u_T) + \text{Var}(u_0) - 2\rho(u_T, u_0)\sqrt{\text{Var}(u_T)}\sqrt{\text{Var}(u_0)}$ . Since the correlation coefficient  $\rho(u_T, u_0)$  is less than or equal to 1 in absolute value and since  $\text{Var}(u_T)$  and  $\text{Var}(u_0)$  are finite,  $\text{Var}(u_T - u_0)$  is finite.

## Section 9.2

3.  $\alpha_0 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = -1$ , and  $\alpha_j = 0$  for  $j = 2, 3, \dots$ . So  $\eta_t = \varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_{t-1}$ .

## Section 9.3

1.  $T^{1-\eta}(\hat{\rho} - 1) = \frac{1}{T^\eta}T(\hat{\rho} - 1)$ .  $T(\hat{\rho} - 1)$  converges in distribution to a random variable. Use Lemma 2.4(b).
2. This follows immediately from Proposition 9.2(a),(b), and (9.3.3).
3. Since  $\Delta y_t$  is ergodic stationary (actually, iid here),  $\frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (\Delta y_t)^2 \rightarrow_p \text{E}(\Delta y_t)$ . By Proposition 9.3,  $[T \cdot (\hat{\rho} - 1)]$  converges in distribution to a random variable, and by Proposition 9.2(b),  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \Delta y_t y_{t-1}$  converges in distribution to a random variable. So the second term converges in probability to zero. Use a similar argument to show that the third term vanishes.
4.  $\Delta y_t$  is stationary, so for the  $t$ -value from the first regression you should use the standard normal. The  $t$ -value from the second regression is numerically equal to (9.3.7). So use  $DF_t$ .
5. (a) As remarked on page 564, an I(0) process is ergodic stationary. So by the ergodic theorem

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t y_{t-1}}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0},$$

where  $\gamma_0 = \text{E}(y_t^2)$  and  $\gamma_1 = \text{E}(y_t y_{t-1})$ . By assumption,  $\gamma_0 > \gamma_1$ .

- (b) It should be easy to show that

$$s^2 \xrightarrow{p} \frac{2(\gamma_0^2 - \gamma_1^2)}{\gamma_0} > 0.$$

So

$$\sqrt{T} \cdot t = \frac{\hat{\rho} - 1}{s \div \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_{t-1})^2}} = -\frac{\gamma_0 - \gamma_1}{\sqrt{2(\gamma_0 - \gamma_1)}} < 0.$$

7. (a)  $SB$  times  $T$  is the reciprocal of  $DW$  with  $y_t$  interpreted as the regression residual.
- (b) The denominator of  $SB$  converges in distribution to  $E[(\Delta y_t)^2] = \gamma_0$ . By Proposition 9.2(a), the numerator converges in distribution to  $\lambda^2 \int_0^1 [W(r)]^2 dr$ . Here,  $\lambda^2 = \gamma_0$ .
- (c) If  $y_t$  is  $I(0)$ ,

$$T \cdot SB \xrightarrow{p} \frac{E(y_t^2)}{E[(\Delta y_t)^2]}.$$

## Section 9.4

1.  $a_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3$ ,  $a_2 = -\phi_2$ ,  $a_3 = -\phi_3$ . If  $y_t$  is driftless  $I(1)$  following (9.4.1), then  $y_{t-1}$  is driftless  $I(1)$  while  $y_{t-1} - y_{t-2}$  and  $y_{t-1} - y_{t-3}$  is zero-mean  $I(0)$ .  $\mathbf{a} \equiv (a_1, a_2, a_3)'$  is a linear and non-singular transformation of  $\boldsymbol{\phi} \equiv (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)'$  (that is,  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\phi}$  for some non-singular matrix  $\mathbf{F}$ ). So if  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  is the OLS estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ , then  $\mathbf{F}\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  is the OLS estimate of  $\mathbf{a}$ .  $(\rho, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$  from (9.4.3) with  $p = 2$  is also a linear and non-singular transformation of  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ .  $\rho = a_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3$ .
2. Just apply the mean value theorem to  $\phi(z)$ .
3. The hint is almost the answer. In the final step, use the fact that  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\Delta y_t)^2 \rightarrow_p \gamma_0$ .
4. (a) The hint is the answer. (b) Use Billingsley's CLT.  $\Delta y_{t-1}$  is a function of  $(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \dots)$ . So  $\Delta y_{t-1}$  and  $\varepsilon_t$  are independently distributed, and  $E[(\Delta y_{t-1} \varepsilon_t)^2] = E[(\Delta y_{t-1})^2] E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \gamma_0 \sigma^2$ .
5. The hint is the answer.
6. The hint is almost the answer. We have shown in Review Question 3 to Section 9.3 that  $s^2 \rightarrow_p \sigma^2$ . It has been shown on page 588 that the (2,2) element of  $\mathbf{A}_T^{-1}$  converges in probability to  $\gamma_0^{-1}$ .